

Short Article

The Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Pregnant Women Referred to Amir al-Mu'minin Hospital of Gerash City, Fars Province, Iran

Elham Rezaee^{1,5} M.Sc., Fariba Mohammadi² M.Sc., Mohammad Javad Boozhmehrani³ M.Sc., Parisa Bagheri^{4*} M.Sc.

- ¹ Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Paramedical School, Gerash University of Medical Sciences, Gerash, Iran
- ² Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- ³ Department of Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran
- ⁴ Department of Medical Hematology and Blood Banking, Paramedical School, Gerash University of Medical Sciences, Gerash, Iran
- ⁵ Department of Parasitology and Mycology, School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

ABSTRACT

Article history

Received: 17 Apr 2020 Accepted: 6 Aug 2021 Available online: 25 Sep 2021

Keywords

Carpenter and Coustan criteria Gestational diabetes mellitus Oral glucose tolerance test Iran **Background and Aims:** The increasing number of pregnant women being diagnosed as having gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and lead health care providers to develop reliable screening protocols and know the exact worldwide epidemiology of the disease. This study seeks to obtain data that will help to improve the epidemiologic knowledge about this disease.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study was performed on 953 pregnant women seeking routine prenatal screening tests. Participants participate with using Carpenter-Coustan criteria in the study. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software.

Results and Conclusions: Among 953 pregnant women, 38 participants (4%) were detected to have GDM. Fifty percent of patients in the study were overweight. The data showed a significant difference in the mean age between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups. The high prevalence of GDM in young Iranian pregnant women may emphasize the need for early diagnosis and treatment to avoid adverse outcomes associated with GDM.

*Corresponding Author: Department of Medical Hematology and Blood Banking, Paramedical School, Gerash University of Medical Sciences, Gerash, Iran. Tel: +989398539794; Email: bagheri.parisa2020@yahoo.com

Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is an intolerance of glucose, defined as hyperglycemia occurring in pregnancy and resolving after birth. This metabolic disorder is currently regarded as the most common medical complication of the gestation period, which develops during the second and third trimester of pregnancy [1]. To date, several risk factors have been identified to be correlated with GDM, including pregnancy, maternal body mass index (BMI), the family history of diabetes mellitus (DM), polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), advancing maternal age, and the previous history of gestational diabetes [2]. In this context, previous research has also established that the high prevalence of obesity and advancing maternal age impose a heavy burden on the health system due to increasing the incidence of GDM [3]. However, gestational diabetes research remains inconclusive in the precise mechanisms that induce hyperglycemia in pregnant women. The existing body of research on the pathophysiology of GDM suggests that increased insulin resistance is a hallmark of gestational diabetes, which is caused by some pregnancy hormones and, to a lesser extent, excessive fat deposits during the gestation period. If this is so, the increased insulin secretion from pancreatic β -cells can not compensate for insulin resistance. Consequently, insulin resistance may prevent cellular glucose uptake by this mechanism and result in a disease phenotype that resembles diabetes in humans [4].

This heterogeneous disorder has been known to present itself with variable severity and symptoms. It was found to be associated with an increased risk of pregnancy complications both mother and fetus, such for as preeclampsia, stillbirth, shoulder dystocia, Caesarean section, neonatal hypoglycemia, and jaundice, as well as fetal macrosomia [5]. Furthermore, neonates born from mothers with poorly controlled and untreated GDM are at higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in addition to their mothers [6]. It has previously been observed that these sequelae of GDM could be attenuated by early diagnosis and appropriate management of the disorder [7]. Suitable and proper management has also been shown to require a reliable and feasible screening protocol, taking timely action, and knowing the exact worldwide prevalence and epidemiology of GDM [8].

A systematic review of the literature published between 2005 and December 2018 demonstrated that the highest prevalence of GDM was found in the Middle East and some North African countries (median value = 15.2%) [9]. In addition, recent work by Jafari et al. (2015) has established that the prevalence of GDM in Iran is 3.41%, with the highest prevalence of 18.6% [10].

Considering everything, it is evident that we require to conduct studies to plan for the allocation of resources that would result in improved maternal and neonatal health outcomes. In this study, we attempt to determine the prevalence of GDM in pregnant women referred to Amir al-Mu'minin Hospital of Gerash city, Fars Province, Iran, from 19 March 2016 to 20 March 2019, along with risk factors of GDM.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was carried out in Amir al-Mu'minin Hospital of Gerash city, Fars Province, Iran, from 19 March 2016 to 20 March 2019. All pregnant women seeking prenatal health care underwent tests for diabetes diagnosis and screening according to the American Congress of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) guidelines using Carpenter-Coustan criteria after being informed and obtaining their consent to participate in the study. The ACOG panel recommends a diagnostic strategy for the screening of GDM in pregnant women. They endorse a diagnostic approach using a 1-h 50 g glucose challenge test (50-g GCT) followed by a 3-h 100 g oral glucose tolerance test (100-g OGTT) in women with an abnormal screening GCT result (\geq 130 mg/dl). In addition, the ACOG panel expresses their formal support for the threshold values detecting hyperglycemia in pregnancy (≥ 95 , ≥ 180 , ≥ 155 , and ≥ 140 mg/dl for fasting blood sugar (FBS), 1-h, 2-h, and 3-h plasma glucose tests, respectively). We conducted experiments on 953 pregnant women (mean age \pm standard deviation (SD), 28.24 ± 5.88 years) with a gestational age between 24 and 32 weeks and considered two abnormal values (in each person) that are equal to or greater than the established threshold values as the indicators of getting GDM. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Gerash University of Medical Sciences (IR.GERUMS.REC.1398.015). Only pregnant women who were not known as diabetic before pregnancy were included in the study. In addition, the subjects suffering from chronic and severe diseases or currently receiving treatment with corticosteroids were excluded from this study. The participants diagnosed with GDM were asked to complete a questionnaire documenting maternal age, the number of pregnancies, level of education, the family history of diabetes, gestational BMI, the history of abortion, stillbirth, GDM, congenital disorders in previous pregnancies, and job status.

Statistical analysis

Data management and statistical analysis were performed using SPSS software (version 20). Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD. The normality of data was confirmed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (KS test). In addition, significance levels were set at the 5% level using the student t-test and Chi-square analysis.

Results and Discussion

A total of 953 pregnant women with an average age of 28.24 ± 5.88 (range, 13 to 48) were included in this cross-sectional study. Besides, results showed that most of them (62.4%) were aged between 25 and 30 at the beginning of the investigation. Moreove, 4% of those who screened using the Carpenter-Coustan criteria were diagnosed with GDM. Diabetic pregnant women's age (38 participants) ranged from 17 to 48 with an average age of 30.94 ± 6.83 , whereas non-diabetic subjects fell into the age group of 13 to 47 with an average of $28.13 \pm$ 5.81. Comparing the two results shows that the mean age of diabetic women is significantly higher than that of non-diabetic participants (p = 0.004). Further analysis showed that there is a significant difference in the mean FBS between the diabetic ($92.74 \pm 19.85 \text{ mg/dl}$) and non-diabetic groups ($81.62 \pm 9.56 \text{ mg/dl}$) (p < 0.01). Moreover, similar statistical tests revealed that the mean blood glucose concentrations at 60 and 120 min were significantly higher in diabetic pregnant women (207.64 ± 44.03 mg/dl vs. 129.16 ± 29.69 mg/dl

at 60 min, p < 0.0001; 180.58 ± 63.29 mg/dl vs. 105.37 ± 25.5 mg/dl at 120 min, p < 0.0001). In the final part of the study, diabetic pregnant women were asked to answer several questions, and the statistics summary is presented in Table 1. From the data in Table 1, no significant differences were found between the history of GDM and abortion and the GDM history and job status. The Chi-square test also did not show any significant relationship between different age and gestational BMI groups.

BMI (kg/m²) Number Percentage (%) 18.5-24.9 19 50 25-29.9 19 50 Educational level 1 2.6 Illiterate 1 2.6 Primary school 12 63.2 Undergraduate and higher levels 12 31.6 Job status 10.5 50 Housewife 34 89.5 Employed 34 89.5 Employed 34 63.2 No 14 36.8 Parity 7 7 0 8 21.1 1 18 47.4 2 11 28.9 3 1 2.6 The history of gestational diabetes mellitus 7 Yes 35 92.1 No 35 92.1 No 34 89.5 The history of abortion 7 Yes 4 10.5 No 34			
25-29.91950Educational level12.6Primary school12.6Middle school/ high school2463.2Undergraduate and higher levels1231.6Job status12.6Housewife3489.5Employed410.5The family history of diabetes136.8Parity2463.2No1436.8Parity128.9321.1111847.421128.932.61The history of gestational diabetes mellitus2.6Yes3592.1No3592.1No3489.5The history of abortion410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth12.6No3489.5The history of stillbirth10.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth100The history of stillbirth100No38100	BMI (kg/m ²)	Number	Percentage (%)
Educational levelIlliterate12.6Primary school12.6Middle school/ high school2463.2Undergraduate and higher levels1231.6Job status12.6Housewife3489.5Employed410.5The family history of diabetes136.8Parity2463.2No1436.8Parity128.9321.1128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitus12.6Yes3592.11No3489.51No3489.51No3489.51No3489.51No3489.51No38100100The history of stillbirth100100No38100	18.5-24.9	19	50
Illiterate12.6Primary school12.6Middle school/ high school2463.2Undergraduate and higher levels1231.6Job status1231.6Housewife3489.5Employed410.5The family history of diabetes136.8Parity2463.2No1436.8Parity128.9321.11128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitus1Yes3592.1No3489.5The history of abortion34Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth1No38100The history of congenital disorders1	25-29.9	19	50
Primary school12.6Middle school/ high school2463.2Undergraduate and higher levels1231.6Job status1231.6Job status3489.5Employed410.5The family history of diabetes136.8Yes2463.2No1436.8Parity136.80821.111847.421128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitus1Yes3592.1No3489.5The history of abortion1Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth10No38100The history of congenital disorders1	Educational level		
Middle school/ high school2463.2Undergraduate and higher levels1231.6Job status	Illiterate	1	2.6
Undergraduate and higher levels 12 31.6 Job status	Primary school	1	2.6
Job statusHousewife3489.5Employed410.5Employed40.5The family history of diabetes5Yes2463.2No1436.8Parity136.80821.111847.421128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitus1Yes3592.1No37.9The history of abortion1Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth100No38100	Middle school/ high school	24	63.2
Housewife3489.5Employed410.5Employed410.5 The family history of diabetes 2463.2No1436.8 Parity 136.80821.111847.421128.9312.6 The history of gestational diabetes mellitus 2.1Yes3592.1No3489.5The history of abortion34Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth38No38100	Undergraduate and higher levels	12	31.6
Employed410.5The family history of diabetesYes2463.2No1436.8Parity0821.111847.421128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitusYes3592.1No37.9The history of abortion10.5Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirthNo38100The history of congenital disorders	Job status		
The family history of diabetes Yes 24 63.2 No 14 36.8 Parity 1 36.8 0 8 21.1 1 18 47.4 2 11 28.9 3 1 2.6 The history of gestational diabetes mellitus 1 2.6 Yes 35 92.1 No 3 7.9 The history of abortion 1 2.5 Yes 44 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth 100 No 38 100	Housewife	34	89.5
Yes2463.2No1436.8Parity136.80821.111847.421128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitusYesYes3592.1No37.9The history of abortionIYes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirthINo38100	Employed	4	10.5
No1436.8Parity821.10821.111847.421128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitus92.1Yes3592.1No37.9The history of abortion10.5Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth100No38100	The family history of diabetes		
Parity No 0 8 21.1 1 18 47.4 2 11 28.9 3 1 2.6 The history of gestational diabetes mellitus Yes 35 92.1 No 3 7.9 The history of abortion 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth 100 No 38 100	Yes	24	63.2
0 8 21.1 1 18 47.4 2 11 28.9 3 1 2.6 The history of gestational diabetes mellitus Yes 35 92.1 No 3 7.9 The history of abortion 34 89.5 Yes 4 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth 5 No 38 100	No	14	36.8
11847.421128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitusYes3592.1No37.9The history of abortion7.9Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth70No38100The history of congenital disorders70	Parity		
21128.9312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitusYes3592.1No37.9The history of abortion7.9Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth70No38100The history of congenital disorders70	0	8	21.1
312.6The history of gestational diabetes mellitusYes3592.1No37.9The history of abortion10.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth100No38100The history of congenital disorders100	1	18	47.4
The history of gestational diabetes mellitusYes3592.1No37.9The history of abortion10.5Yes410.5No3489.5The history of stillbirth100No38100The history of congenital disorders100	2	11	28.9
Yes 35 92.1 No 3 7.9 The history of abortion 10.5 Yes 4 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth 100 No 38 100	3	1	2.6
Yes 35 92.1 No 3 7.9 The history of abortion 10.5 Yes 4 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth 100 No 38 100	The history of gestational diabetes mellitus		
The history of abortion Yes 4 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth		35	92.1
Yes 4 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth No 38 100 The history of congenital disorders	No	3	7.9
Yes 4 10.5 No 34 89.5 The history of stillbirth No 38 100 The history of congenital disorders	The history of abortion		
The history of stillbirth38No38The history of congenital disorders	Yes	4	10.5
No 38 100 The history of congenital disorders	No	34	89.5
No 38 100 The history of congenital disorders	The history of stillbirth		
The history of congenital disorders	· ·	38	100
• •	The history of congenital disorders		
		38	100

Table 1. The baseline characteristics of diabetic pregnant women

This study aims to assess the prevalence of GDM in pregnant women referred to Amir al-Mu'minin Hospital of Gerash city and to analyze some factors influencing the risk of GDM. This study has shown that the prevalence of GDM is decreased by using the Carpenter-Coustan criteria for diagnosing the disease according to the comparison of the result with findings of other studies conducted in different regions of Iran that used the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) criteria [11].

medical complications Among during pregnancy, GDM is considered the most common medical problem faced by pregnant women [1]. This multifactorial disease is defined as various degrees of maternal glucose intolerance with first recognition during pregnancy and characterized by insulin resistance and decreased pancreatic beta-cell function [4]. As mentioned in the literature review, several factors can increase the risk of GDM and put a tremendous burden on the health care system [12]. Furthermore, it is now well established from various studies that maternal diabetes is known to be a risk factor for pregnancy complications [5, 6]. Previous studies indicated that the increased incidence of obesity and GDM worldwide, in addition to the burden of appropriate GDM management, lead medical scientists to develop feasible screening protocols and know the exact worldwide epidemiology of the disease [7, 8].

As mentioned in the introduction, the regionspecific prevalence of GDM is highly variable. A recent systematic review performed by McIntyre et al. (2019) has shown that the highest prevalence of GDM can be observed in the Middle East and North African (Iran, United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Bahrain, and Israel) [9]. Moreover, a systematic review of GDM prevalence has been undertaken in Iran, revealing that the prevalence ranges from 1.3 to 18.6% [10]. In the current study, the prevalence of GDM was estimated to be 4%. In addition, further analysis showed that there is a significant difference in the mean age between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups (p = 0.004). Unfortunately, the study was limited by the lack of information on the baseline characteristics of non-diabetic pregnant women.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

This study was supported by Gerash University of Medical Sciences in Gerash, Fars Province, Iran.

References

- McIntyre HD, Catalano P, Zhang C, Desoye G, Mathiesen ER, Damm P. Gestational diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2019; 5(1): 1-19.
- [2]. Solomon CG, Willett WC, Carey VJ, Rich-Edwards J, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, et al. A prospective study of pregravid determinants of gestational diabetes mellitus. JAMA 1997; 278(13): 1078-1083.
- [3]. Zhu Y, Zhang C. Prevalence of gestational diabetes and risk of progression to type 2 diabetes: a global perspective. Curr Diabet Rep. 2016; 16(1): 7.
- [4]. Di Cianni G, Miccoli R, Volpe L, Lencioni C, Del Prato S. Intermediate metabolism in normal pregnancy and in gestational diabetes. Diabet/Metabol Res Rev. 2003; 19(4): 259-70.

DOI: 10.18502/ijml.v8i3.7322

- [5]. Metzger BE, Contreras M, Sacks D, Watson W, Dooley S, Foderaro M, et al. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. New Eng J Med. 2008; 358(19): 1991-2002.
- [6]. Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2009; 373(9677): 1773-779.
- [7]. Poolsup N, Suksomboon N, Amin M. Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one 2014; 9(3): 1-10.
- [8]. Nielsen KK, Courten Md, Kapur A. The urgent need for universally applicable simple screening procedures and diagnostic criteria for gestational diabetes mellitus–lessons from projects funded by the World Diabetes Foundation. Glob Hlth Act. 2012; 5(1): 17277-7286.

- [9]. McIntyre HD, Catalano P, Zhang C, Desoye G, Mathiesen ER, Damm P. Gestational diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2019; 5(1): 47.
- [10]. Jafari-Shobeiri M, Ghojazadeh M, Azami-Aghdash S, Naghavi-Behzad M, Piri R, Pourali-Akbar Y, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of gestational diabetes in Iran: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Iranian Journal of Public Health 2015; 44(8): 1036-1044.
- [11]. Moradi S, Shafieepour MR, Mortazavi M, Pishgar F. Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus in Rafsanjan: a comparison of different criteria. Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran 2015; 29(2): 209.
- [12]. Chu SY, Callaghan WM, Kim SY, Schmid CH, Lau J, England LJ, et al. Maternal obesity and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabet Care 2007; 30(8): 2070-2076.